

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,663	06/29/2001	Tsutomu Chiba	210608US2	9397
22850	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			RHODE JR, ROBERT E	
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
Office Action Summary	09/893,663	CHIBA, TSUTOMU	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Rob Rhode	3625	/
Period for Reply	ears on the cover site	et with the correspondence address	<i>7</i> -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, of within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to becomes	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicates one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	6 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	☑ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received ity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. I in Application No. <u>09/893,663</u> . been received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Inte	view Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Pap 5) ☐ Noti	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1 – 10 and 19 - 23 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Claims 11 – 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 3625

In Claims 19 - 23, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is directed to a process that does nothing more than manipulate an abstract idea. There is no practical application in the technological arts. See In re Musgrave, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and In re Johnston, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974). For example in claim 19, the invention in the body of the claim does not recite the use of nor incorporate any technology in carrying out the recited method steps and therefore is not statutory. If the invention in the body of the claim is not tied to the technological arts, environment or machine, the claim is not statutory. See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (BD. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) [Unpublished] and note MPEP 2106 IV 2(b). While Bowman is not precedential, it has been cited for its analysis.

Claims 6 – 10, the claimed invention recites a program per se and therefore does not fall within a safe harbor. See MPEP 2106.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 10 and 19 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thackston (US 6,295,513 B1) in view of an obvious variation.

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claim 1 and related claims 6 and 19, Thackston teaches a method, apparatus and a program of assisting the placing of an order for manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising:

registering a maker group of interfaced makers (see at least Abstract, Col 4, lines 16 – 22 and Figures 1 – 9, 16A and 26) including:

organizing a maker group from makers of different categories to manufacture a semiconductor device in collaboration with one another (see at least Abstract, Col 4, lines 41 – 50, Col 5, lines 21 – 31 and Col 6, lines 53 – 63);

confirming interfaces among the makers in the organized maker group, the interfaces serving to hand over materials among the makers to complete a semiconductor device (see at least Col 8, lines 45-57, Col 18, lines 23-27 and Col 28, lines 12-13); and introducing maker groups of interfaced makers registered through the registering (see at least Col 34, lines 4-6 and Col 36, lines 42-58), including: means for retrieving maker groups that satisfy specifications set for a given semiconductor device (see at least Col 36, lines 59-63 and Col 38, lines 39-54). Please note that the recitation in claims 1, 6 and 19 of "assisting the placing of an order for manufacturing for a semiconductor device" is given little patentable weight. The recitation does not move to patentably define the instant apparatus, program and method form the apparatus, program and method of Thackston.

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claim 2 and related claims 7 and 20, Thackston teaches a method, wherein: said registering a maker group includes inviting makers (Col 34, lines 36 - 37 and Col 42, lines 40 - 44).

Page 5

Regarding claim 3 and related claims 8 and 21, Thackston teaches a method, wherein: said introducing maker groups includes selecting one of the retrieved maker groups as a maker group to which a manufacturing order is placed (Col 49, lines 31 – 45 and Col 50, lines 51 - 52).

Regarding claim 4 and related claims 9 and 22, Thackston teaches a method, wherein: said introducing maker groups includes assisting specification determination (Col 2, lines 14 - 65 and Col 4, lines 41 - 50).

Regarding claim 5 and related claims 10 and 23, Thackston teaches a method, wherein: said introducing maker groups includes scheduling delivery dates along which makers in one of the retrieved maker groups hand over materials to complete the given semiconductor device (Col 49, lines 31 - 45).

However, Thackston does not specifically disclose and teach manufacturing of semiconductor devices. It is noted, however, that Thackston does teach a networked based system for the manufacture of parts in a virtual collaborative environment.

Art Unit: 3625

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the method, program and medium of Thackston with semiconductor devices to have enabled assisting the placing of an order for manufacturing of a semiconductor device, comprising: registering a maker group of interfaced makers including: organizing a maker group from makers of different categories to manufacture a semiconductor device in collaboration with one another; confirming interfaces among the makers in the organized maker group, the interfaces serving to hand over materials among the makers to complete a semiconductor device; and introducing maker groups of interfaced makers registered through the registering, including: means for retrieving maker groups that satisfy specifications set for a given semiconductor device – in order to have the capability of manufacturing parts such as semiconductors in a collaborative manner. Thackston teaches a networked based system for the manufacture of parts in a virtual collaborative environment (Abstract). An obvious variation of parts for a sonobouy would include semiconductor devices. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to extend Thackston with semiconductor devices in order to assist the placing of an order for manufacturing of a semiconductor device. In this manner, the kinds of parts will incorporate semiconductor devices, which are a part of sonobouy's.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rob Rhode** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-8230**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeff Smith** can be reached on **(703) 308-3588**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7418 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Art Unit: 3625

RER

Page 8

Mettrey A. Smith Primary Examiner